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#### Abstract

C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{6}\), monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c, \quad a=$ 9.4729 (6), $b=12.064$ (1), $c=16.426$ (2) $\AA, \beta=$ $97.60(1)^{\circ}, V=1860.7 \AA^{3}, \rho_{o}=1.26$ (by flotation), $\rho_{c}=1.25 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ (for $Z=4$ ). The structure determination was undertaken to establish the relative stereochemistry at the 6 -position of this synthetic analog of eriolanin. Relative to the bicyclic ring system of the closely related dehydroeriolanin, epimerization at the 6-position causes an endo to exo conformational flip of the 1,4 -diplanar (boat) cyclohexene ring. The structure has been refined to a residual of $R=0.064$ for 2229 observable data measured with copper radiation.


Introduction. Eriolanin (I) is a naturally occurring antileukemic 1,10-secoeudesmanolide isolated from Eriophyllum lanatum Forbes (Compositae) by Kupchan, Baxter, Chiang, Gilmore \& Bryan (1973). Structural elucidation of eriolanin was by a combination of spectral and X-ray diffraction studies, the latter work performed by Bryan \& Gilmore (1975). They studied a mixed crystal of dehydroeriolanin (DE,
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II) and dehydroeriolangin (III) in which the two eudesmanolides had co-crystallized. As part of a search for analogs of natural products with anti-leukemic properties, one of us (PAG) synthesized the 6 -epimer of




(IV)
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eriolanin (6-EE; IV; Grieco, Oguri, Gilman \& DeTitta, 1978). NMR spectral data were not readily interpretable and in order to firmly establish the relative stereochemistry of the 6-position a single-crystal diffraction experiment was undertaken.

Intensity data were measured on a columnar crystal of approximate dimensions $0.08 \times 0.12 \times 0.60 \mathrm{~mm}$. Of 3804 independent reflections measured on a CAD-4 diffractometer with a copper source and using a variable scan width of $(0.8+0.14 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$ in the $\theta / 2 \theta$ mode, 2229 were considered observable ( $I>2 \sigma_{I}$ ) and these were used for the refinement of the structural model. Intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects but not for absorption ( $\mu_{\mathrm{Cu}}=0.77$ $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ ). The structure was determined by multi-solution tangent formula techniques (Germain, Main \& Woolfson, 1971) and refined by a least-squares procedure to a conventional residual of $R=0.064$ and a weighted residual of $R_{w}=0.087$. Scattering factors for O and C used in the refinement were those of

Table 1. Atomic positional parameters and estimated standard deviations $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ of $( \pm)$-6-epi-eriolanin

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C(1) | $5461(4)$ | $3224(3)$ | $6640(2)$ |
| C(2) | $5801(4)$ | $2645(3)$ | $5869(2)$ |
| C(3) | $5924(3)$ | $3432(2)$ | $5158(2)$ |
| C(4) | $6094(3)$ | $2864(3)$ | $4346(2)$ |
| C(5) | $4794(3)$ | $2180(2)$ | $4028(2)$ |
| C(6) | $3387(3)$ | $2781(2)$ | $3892(2)$ |
| C(7) | $2573(3)$ | $2517(3)$ | $3050(2)$ |
| C(8) | $2451(3)$ | $1248(3)$ | $2919(2)$ |
| C(9) | $3347(3)$ | $546(3)$ | $3544(2)$ |
| C(10) | $4766(3)$ | $1090(2)$ | $3850(2)$ |
| C(11) | $1056(3)$ | $2888(3)$ | $2948(2)$ |
| C(12) | $145(4)$ | $1906(3)$ | $2924(3)$ |
| C(13) | $508(4)$ | $3880(4)$ | $2904(3)$ |
| C(14) | $6028(4)$ | $328(3)$ | $3951(2)$ |
| C(15) | $6388(4)$ | $3730(3)$ | $3696(2)$ |
| C(1') | $1861(3)$ | $3189(3)$ | $4928(2)$ |
| C(2') | $803(4)$ | $2691(3)$ | $5413(2)$ |
| C(3') | $0(4)$ | $3422(4)$ | $5773(3)$ |
| C(4') | $622(5)$ | $1490(4)$ | $5459(3)$ |
| O(1) | $6480(3)$ | $4024(2)$ | $6946(2)$ |
| O(6) | $2486(2)$ | $2409(2)$ | $4500(1)$ |
| O(8) | $941(2)$ | $985(2)$ | $2935(2)$ |
| O(12) | $-1132(3)$ | $1858(3)$ | $2894(2)$ |
| O(14) | $6064(3)$ | $-388(2)$ | $3265(1)$ |
| O(1') | $2111(3)$ | $4156(2)$ | $4891(2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |




Fig. 1. A stereoview of 6 -epi-eriolanin.

Cromer \& Waber (1974) and for H those of Stewart, Davidson \& Simpson (1965). The weighting scheme employed was as described by eq. H14 of Stout \& Jensen (1968) except that $0.01 N_{\mathrm{pk}}$ was replaced by $0.05 N_{\mathrm{pk}}$.

Discussion. A stereoview of the molecule is shown in Fig. 1. Non-hydrogen positional parameters are listed in Table 1 ; bond distances, bond angles, and torsion angles are given in Fig. 2. A view of the crystal packing is shown in Fig. 3.*

In their study of dehydroeriolanin, Bryan \& Gilmore (1975) noted that the bicyclic moiety of DE had a 1,4diplanar (boat) cyclohexene ring conformation. The form is endo; i.e. the $C(5)=C(10)$ bond is directed in towards the lactone ring. The endo conformation
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Fig. 2. Bond distances $(\AA)$, bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ and torsion angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 6 -epi-eriolanin. Average e.s.d.'s for distances, angles, and torsion angles are $0.005 \AA, 0.2^{\circ}$ and $0.3^{\circ}$ respectively. Positive torsion angles refer to clockwise rotations of back bonds with respect to stationary front bonds when looking down the middle bond.


Fig. 3. View of the crystal packing of 6-epi-eriolanin down the crystallographic $a$ axis. The hydrogen bonds $\mathrm{O}(14) \mathrm{H} \ldots$ $\mathrm{O}(1) \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}(12)$ link molecules into sheets normal to $b$.


Fig. 4. Side-by-side views of (a) 6-epi-eriolanin and (b) dehydroeriolanin (Bryan \& Gilmore, 1975). The lactone rings and atoms $C(6)$ and $C(9)$ have been oriented similarly by a least-squares fitting procedure. Note that the 6 -methacrylate chains are oriented axially on both epimers but in opposite directions vis $\mathfrak{a}$ vis the common lactone-ring orientations.
directs the $\mathrm{C}(6)$ methacrylate group to the pseudo-axial position. In this study we again observe a 1,4 -diplanar cyclohexene ring conformation; however, in this case the form is exo; i.e. $\mathrm{C}(5)=\mathrm{C}(10)$ is directed away from the lactone ring. This ring flip causes the methacrylate group to assume again the pseudo-axial position. Side views of 6 -epi-eriolanin and DE are shown in Fig. 4. The views were constructed by orienting the 6 -epieriolanin molecule in such a way as to emphasize the exo conformation of the bicyclic ring and show the disposition of the side groups at $C(6), C(5)$, and $C(10)$ relative to it. The view of DE was derived from that of 6 -EE by a least-squares fitting of the lactone ring and atoms $\mathrm{C}(13), \mathrm{C}(6), \mathrm{C}(9)$ of DE to the corresponding atoms of 6-EE.

Inspection of Fig. 4 indicates that epimerization at $\mathrm{C}(6)$ has occasioned a rather dramatic conformational isomerization of the sesquiterpene lactone ring system,
with an attendant relocation of biologically important functional groups at $\mathrm{C}(5)$ and $\mathrm{C}(10)$ vis $a ̀$ vis a fixed lactone position. It explains the difficulty in the interpretation of the PMR spectrum of 6 -EE in the $\mathrm{H}(6)$ area since epimerization did not affect the coupling of $\mathrm{H}(6)$ as expected. Modeling indicates that the isomerization upon epimerization is due to crowding by the $\mathrm{C}(13)$ methylene and $\mathrm{C}(15)$ methyl which would result if epimerization were to occur without isomerization.

This work was supported in part by Public Health Service Research Grants AM19856, HL15378 and CA13689. Figs. 1 and 3 were composed on PROPHET, a computing network supported by the National Institutes of Health. We thank Douglas Rohrer for making $\operatorname{FITMOL}$, a least-squares fitting routine to match similar molecules, available to us and for supervising the intensity-data collection.
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# cis-[1,2;3,4;5,6]-Triiminocyclohexane (cis-Benzene-Trisimine) 
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Abstract. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{3}, M_{r}=123 \cdot 18$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$, $a=8.561$ (5), $b=10.656$ (4), $c=6.569$ (5) $\AA, \beta=$ $107.70(3)^{\circ}, V=570.89(16) \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{m}=$ 1.44 (1), $D_{c}=1.43 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3} . \lambda($ Mo $K \bar{\alpha})=0.71073 \AA$,
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$\mu($ Mo $K \bar{\alpha})=0.1017 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, F(000)=264$. The structure was solved by the symbolic-addition procedure and refined to $R=0.040$ for the 1665 observed reflexions. The molecule is asymmetric, the three aziridine rings show an outward tilt. The six-membered ring is nearly planar. Two of the three amino protons show strong © 1979 International Union of Crystallography
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